

PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Marc Callero
DOCKET NO.: 05-23137.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-32-424-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marc Callero, the appellant, by attorney Edward P. Larkin of Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 50-year-old, one-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,630 square feet of living area and located in Wheeling Township, Cook County. Features of the residence include two and one-half bathrooms, a full-finished basement, air-conditioning, two fireplaces and a two-car attached garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board arguing unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The appellant also submitted a two-page brief, a photograph of the subject, property characteristic printouts for the subject and the suggested comparables as well as a copy of the board of review's decision. Based on the appellant's documents, the three suggested comparables consist of one-story, single-family dwellings of masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. Two comparables are located on the same street and block as the subject. The improvements range in size from 1,500 to 1,789 square feet of living area and range in age from 50 to 53 years. The comparables have lot sizes ranging in size from 19,000 to 36,600 square feet. The comparables contain one, one and one-half or two full bathrooms, a partial or full-unfinished basement and a two-car garage. Two comparables have air-conditioning and one

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 19,000
IMPR.: \$ 25,210
TOTAL: \$ 44,210

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/rfd5756

comparable has a fireplace. The improvement assessments range from \$4.87 to \$14.02 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The appellant also requested a reduction in the subject's land assessment, however, failed to provide an analysis in support of this claim.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$44,210. The subject's improvement assessment is \$25,210 or \$15.46 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with one-story, single-family dwellings of masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 1,683 to 1,753 square feet of living area and range in age from 13 to 55 years. The comparables contain one and one-half or two and one-half bathrooms, one or two fireplace, a finished or unfinished basement, air-conditioning and a two-car garage. The improvement assessments range from \$15.52 to \$18.54 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant's attorney submitted a one-page letter arguing that the appellant's comparables are located in closer proximity to the subject than the board of review's comparables.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The Board finds the appellant's comparables one and two and the board of review's comparables one and three to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These four properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, design, age and construction and have improvement assessments ranging from \$10.79 to \$18.54 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$15.46 falls within the range established by these properties. The


Board finds the remaining comparables less similar to the subject in improvement size and/or age. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported by the most similar properties contained in the record. The appellant failed to provide an analysis supporting a reduction in the subject's land assessment. As a result, the Board also sustains the land assessment assigned to the subject property.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.


This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 27, 2008



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.